

Comrads, arise! Comes the revolution, the Proletariat gets the com-  
misariat, and the Autocrat gets the automat! And with this humble  
beginning, we find we are reading TYKE Magazine, FAPA's "little"  
magazine. A little magazine for little minds.

# TYKE ←

Editor: Jack Harness, The Elmwood, 1627--19th St, NW, Washington,  
D.C. This edition published for the eighty-second mailing of the  
Fantasy Amateur Press Association, incorporated with the LilyWhite  
Honest-to-Gawd, Catholic Grandmothers' Association of Seattle.....  
The number of this issue is still uncertain because I didn't estab-  
lish the exact number of the last issue. I can't really see where  
it matters, FAPA being informal as it is.

Mailing Commentary will be brief this time. Once again, other pur-  
suits, such as painting and Chinese cookery, intervene again. The  
same interests have intervened before, and there is no danger of  
my sudden disappearance from the ranks of the living. But you will  
have less review than there would otherwise have been, not to men-  
tion a cover, in addition. John Magnus has the idea that fanzines  
don't really need such apurtenances as covers, because they aren't  
hawked at the public places and need nothing to attract the eye. I  
don't think that FAPazines really need covers, but look prettier  
with than without.

I am debating, at present, publishing a subzine to be called THETA  
I have enough material on hand for one issue (that is, if I can get  
Harry Warner's excellent "Where Old Fans Go."), but none for other  
issues. I also have enough ideas for three issues. Interested or  
infuriated parties may drop me word and all opinions, of whatever  
nature, will be welcomed. The purpose of THETA would be to edit a  
magazine that would interest people. Not convert, overwhelm, an-  
tagonize, fued with, crusade, or be a discredit to the force. Bal-  
ance of features, art, articles, fiction and in particular, va-  
riety, would be desired. Continuity without formula. That, plus  
six years of apa publishing, ability to recognize mistakes, an IQ  
of 181 (oops! strike that out!), and the services of FANAC UNLTD.,  
would make a readable fanzine. (Fanzine -- not Scientology mag!!)

Mesdames et messieurs, I would be interested in knowing what you  
think of the idea. ## And now to the reviewing.

RE P O R T (On the Loncon) The best part of this is the  
photo section. Since I've had to confine all  
my fanac to this side of the Atlantic in order to meet apa sched-  
ules, I don't have the acquaintance with Britifandom that I would  
like. Thus -- who would have guessed that Chuck Harris looked that  
way? And I didn't know that Ma'elaine was quite that fetching...  
And Normangee is a genuine moose. Sorry, Wally, the reporting was  
doomed to rank below the photos. That odd astral projection bit--  
it detracted some from the story once the joke had worn out. Are  
you sure you know your astral from third base?

LEMOINDRE Hey, dirty-boyd -- you demonstrate ability to edit -- why not do more than a snarf-snarfing, measly ( the red spotted variety ) four pages, which you've done so many times? Have your pearl glands dried up, so that there isn't anything to cast before us FAPA swine?

FAPA MEMORY BOOK Naturally, this won't receive the volume of response which it is due, so I'd like to thank you, Dan and Ron, in behalf of several members who won't chip in a proper amount of praise for it. ## This symposium strikes me as the only large undertaking in FAPA in recent months. Another reason for Hosannahs. ## Interesting that the original purpose of FAPA was to encompass or be fandom. President Theodore Roose---uh sorry, wrong president---White mentioned an article he had planned to write one time, showing his theory that after the decline and fall of Sixth Fandom, there weren't any leaders to take the reins, and faaaaans turned to the Apas. In short, pending a leading fmz to focus around, faaaans made a 7th Fandom out of FAPA, SAPS, and OMPA. I hate to give away the plot of the article like this, but maybe Ted will write it up for us, citing the specific cases which would be necessary for the argumentation. ## Again, thanks, Dan.

HORIZONS Anyway, it's nice for FAPA that you've decided to restrict your writing schedule, promise--it permits you to create such fabulously successful pieces as "Where Old Fans Go," and perhaps more time on the mailing reviews. WOFG overshadows everything else in the mine, including that article on South Gate wherein you adroitly keep the satire down to just funny presentation ( a la Bloch ) and keep it believable until we get hopelessly trapped and convulsed by the outrageous conceits. ## In answer to several questions: I've seen several models of the machine that will record energy flows on and off for over two years, now. Several thousand have been made and used; the closest parallel is the electrical hookup of a police polygraph (lie detector), but we have the advantages of portability, and the fact that the subject has only to hold a pair of terminals (tin cans serve nicely for the purpose, unrefined though they may look), and the convenience of being able to refer to a dial rather than a wavy line on a graph (it's faster). If you want to see it, drop down (after arranging schedules) and I'll demonstrate it. Ted White and Bob Burleson are the only faaaans in the area who've seen it in operation. As for names of people whose IQ shot up so sharply after a bit of processing, Dikini and the Sphere will advise you of America's privacy laws. You could arrange with the Scientology Organization for an inspection of the files, perhaps. But if you want a case, I'll volunteer mine. My I.Q. shot up about 20 points simply by two weeks in class; was around 125 in '55 and is now consistently 175 or above. I processed one person for 50 hours one time; a retest two months later showed his I.Q. only one point different--close enough, I'd say. As for naming names of nuclear scientists who should be shot if we have a war which uses those weapons, and Dupont research chemists who shouldn't -- no, I have no personal or set up Blacklist. You confuse me with Mme. LaFarge. It's just that

(Horizons continued) I feel a person is responsible for his actions, and since the Manhattan Project boys and the AEC couldn't possibly have not known what would occur, that a check on their loyalty to the human race, plus their personal sanity, is not unreasonably indicated. It's unfortunate this country has laws protecting politicians from public opinion for blunders while in office. More enlightened countries can bring senators and such to trial for misconduct while in office. You should be able to see, Harry, that I am not anti-science. Just anti-misuse of a couple of developments such as A-, H-, and C-Bombs which can threaten the survival of the race. Andy Young and Gregg Calkins, both getting training in science, can't make this differentiation, and assume I'm anti-science --oney is simply anti-Harness, period. I had several years of science in college and thought it was the most, until I took humanities and liberal arts and saw that the sciences didn't recognize that they were supposed to work for man, not their own sake, and that the humanities badly deeded the systematic treatment which scientific analysis could give. I'm railed at in FAPA for not letting both camps go "art for art's sake," but we simply can't progress-- or even survive, at this crucial time--without both camps picking up from the other what they need. Even then, the entire field of the human spirit would be missing, including such anamolies as Fire Walking and creation of electrical currents ( the latter I've seen demonstrated, the former I believe is sufficiently verified, even if I don't indulge in it ). These, plus a couple other loose ends such as ForGhussake, W\*H\*Y?, added up, interrelation clarified, should be that knowledge which a person would need to get anywhere on this planet. The test would be, does all this apply directly to the matter of living one's life. It does. It's called Scientology. And it is all I've ever said it was, by actual observation. ## So much for writing enstencil. Any questions?

SCHAFFER Sort of a modernized ballad-singer, huh, Ray??  
If the deadline were less pressing, you'd get some actual commentary -- critique -- but not now.

FOSSEL Danner has warned me, frequently, of the evils of these mundane Ajays. Very nice to see your essay on HPL, Helen, but few FAPA would peep through, uh, Mundane windows, through which you see the foam/ Of traversed seas in lands not far from home. ## FANTASIA was noted.

FANT-AM Our Fantasy Amateur is printed even more ifantastically amateurishly this time. If I didn't know Richard had his hands full with medical tests at the time, I would complain. ## And Dicky-boy -- what a nasty thing to say -- that I'd be glad to mail back pocsarcd ballots to voters unless, of course, they were members of the AMA. My first interest in FA-a is the aps, and if they were members of the ... it wouldn't be of any difference to me. I wouldn't want them to remain in my room, is all. And you must really have banished me from your cognizance if your machines, even, can't even print my name. What gives, eh?

R A M F A P (Poetry leaflet) Your verses written just for fun at odd times on the Vega run were very seldom overdone. (and now for the comment leaflet). I can see your point about why spend postage on illiterate zines, but if you had ever attended a mailing con, you might think differently. Just to be in attendance whilst some momentous decision is effected. Such as whether to include one of NGW's crudsheets in Gemzine, for protection of the crudsheet's tissue-thin paper. The decision that so doing would be to single out Gemzine for special attention... The plotting, intrigue, and secret pact between Pavlat and Pete Graham to keep him in, even though he'd been kicked out for dues, if one of the prospective joiners from the WL decided not to join... Then, Greg, wouldst thou clamour and cry for every scrap and fragment-- every least particle--of FAPAjunk to appear! ## I expected much better treatment from you, Greg -- I'm not, either, anti-science!! Take a look. ## I seem to recall that you were going to major in Law. Then you said it was Engineering. Now you contemplate changing from Geology to Minerology. Who is covering up??? ## Eney will doubtless chirp up that besides cats, birds are animals with the necessary poise to walk on two feet.

P H A N T A S Y P R E S S Ron, Dan -- best wishes on your June and January alliance. Is Ron still a schoolkid, doing homework? Lessee -- if Ron is Tulsa's answer to Robert Bloch, I can only say that I'd hate to meet Robert Bloch (poor Bob!) ## So Hayakawa says a big car is a sex symbol? And to think I don't drive. Think of all the sex I must be missing!!!

S F 50 Y E A R L Y Gee -- Tucker and Bloch and reports on Great Staple Wars, inside dope on BNF's and Pros -- nice, if not quite up to the revival of Le Zombie some years back. Not that I won't be waiting anxiously twenty-five years for your second issue, of course.

P H L O T S A M Wish I had dropped in on you whilst I was in NYC, but I'd read that you were all set to go, and didn't check. Rest assured that I like to meet talkative people, such as you. I come away with a lot of good feelings.

A passel of short reviews now: GAVAGE: Try some Mailing Comments sometime, Curt., to supplement your (quite readable) bits on jazz. TYKE: Gee, was I ever short on time, paper, and inspiration to do a drab thing like that? Pretty drab. ## ALIF: Liked especially, the Nelson cartoon of the Globlie and the robot horse. ## Very glad to see you team up, mentally, with the D.C. Mob. Feel free-- anytime -- to drop in to Congress and pass a bill. We would have taken you to our leader, but he was sick at the time. Some damned fool calculated how many golf games he'd have to attend to put one Sputnik up, by the law of chance, and it near killed him. ## Hey we got one up! ## HAEMOGOBLIN: Nice beginning, Fred; I remember a zine you did in OMPA with Indian Rupee notes plastered in it. ## TARGET: FAPA: Hawk, spit! ## SAMBO: An excellent review of stupor in retrospect. ## Proceed to next page. It's right close by

## MRS CARR SAYS

Note: Please try to read this as being spoken in a friendly, slightly worried and anxious-about-you voice.

Dear Jack,

Something good has happened to you between the last FAPA Mailing and this one (( 80th and 81st, respectively)) This TYKE is the first issue for many mailings that I can make sense out of -- and I mean that literally. There were a few spots of incoherence ie. "the number of ideas you can receive sources" (( an example of typing speed lagging behind thinking speed: should be: "the number of sources you can receive ideas from")) but the communication of thought was so vastly much improved over previous issues that I am writing to congratulate you (( I will be glad to return the favor when and if)) It looks as though you have passed through some stage of experience and finally came out the other side. (Maybe you were bober when you wrote this one, for a change?) (( Well, perhaps.))

Jack, I wonder if you really believe all those attitudes you accuse me of? I had assumed you were just kidding -- that it was only your ploy in our game of feuding, to accuse me of things you knew were not actually so, (( I am informed by several sources, some from Seattle, that you do things often just for kicks and not for what you ostensibly say. Lady, I have to make do in Mlg. comments with what information I have in the zines themselves. Perhaps I'll have to take everything you say cum grano salis, and place no importance on anything you say, since you might not actually mean it but -- )) But I wondered if possibly you do regard me as unfriendly, and trying to do you harm. (( Well, there was that time in SAPS when you spent most of a page detailing how my psychoses had, for years, been increasing, and the many other times....))

Actually, the reason I've been beating you over the head with words is because I have been worried about you. When you first discovered Scientology, remember, you sounded so happy that I wrote and inquired what it was you had found. (( and to convert me to Catholicism; I still have the letter. )) But then you lost that glowing note of happiness (( I did?)) and started a long period of incoherence, during which only emotional stress and a form of anti-science violence managed to break through (( plus poetry, fan fiction, painting, and a few other inconsequential items. )) Your writing style degenerated to a sort of written echolalia -- a babbling of words without coherent meaning -- and it really worried me. (( I always had that trouble expressing myself in writing; but you were not worried when I entered fandom)) Then, too, I inquired around about Scientology, particularly since you introduced it as a "religion" -- and what I heard about it worried me even more. (( Ever try tranquilizers? They calm the nerves, you know.))

The reason for my worry was because I was afraid you were being

sucked into something that would harm you mentally and emotionally. In the first place, your emphasis on Scientology as a "religion" worried me, because as far as I could determine, Scientology lacks the basic ingredient of "religion" in its accepted sense -- it ignores God and apparently makes no provision for an outlet of worship. In my frame of reference, the accepted meaning of "religion"

is a system of belief built around the concept of worship -- and Scientology (as far as I can discover) lacks the element of worship altogether. (( Well, Gem, I've attended Quaker and other extant religions' services where there was no worship of God. And you are using "religion" in a strictly modernized sense -- the worship of God didn't use to be religion. It was only a part of it. Religion used to be knowledge, including some understanding of matters spiritual. The RC Church is as much concerned about how much sex its members get, and how they live, as about what they think as what they think of the Catholic God. For example, some priests admit some of their congregation worship plaster saints like idols but somehow haven't gotten around to disabusing them of their errors. Maybe it's too profitable, I dunno. I personally think it is more important to teach people to have the necessary strength to live the kind of lives they themselves want than to make them fear and worship some ghod who isn't civil enough to return the favor. We notice that as people come upscale, they tend to have more religious tolerance and respect, and cease saying evening prayers -- apparently deciding they are competent to live and lead their own lives. You are aware, I suppose, that our Church Creed states that each man has inalienable rights to his own religious practices and their performance. Say, then that we worship by deeds, and if any want to pray, attend other church services, be Protestant, Catholic, Jew, whatever, that is their business, not mine. )) Then, too, I inquired as to why Scientology was introduced as a "religion," (( Why do you always put the word in quotes? Afraid to hurt mine extrasensative feelings? )) when it so obviously consists of a technique of mental therapy. Why was it not introduced under its rightful title? (( It was, though. I mean, if you've checked on it at all, you've seen we deal with the human spirit. And it is customary on this planet to call systems of dealing with the soul religions, isn't it? )) I was told that the reason was frankly and openly mercenary: as "religion" it could evade certain taxes and get around certain restrictive legislation. (( Your informant appears to be someone as impartially observing as, say Dikini. Nice and diplomatic and sympathetic about this, aren't you? )) Jack, this actually frightened me for your sake. (( Fears and worries, though you profess "due to concern for another, are due to disturbances in childhood; your brother, or perhaps your father, did some sexual act which rocked you to the core at the time. Or perhaps-- as you say in Saps, these base emotions are due to one's aspiring, and failing to reach, the Christian goals of charity, purity, personal integrity, and such. )) If you were a clever crook and went knowingly into a career of charlatanism (( you don't credit mw with much, do you? )) I would frown disapprovingly at your lack of ethics (( you mean, you wouldn't call the cops? This kindness passes

beyond my understanding, but I thank you for your kind regard. )) but I wouldn't be afraid for you. It was seeing you take this stuff seriously, and struggling in a welter of words out of which nothing seemed to emerge except wild claims and even wilder resentment against those who would deny them, that worried me. ((\*))

So I've been hurling pointed words at you like a barrage of arrows in the hope that it could break this delusion you seemed to be under, and sting you into realizing that these claims Scientology puts forth--although undoubtedly true in themselves-- are not something new that Scientology has discovered. (( You are being astute here. They are at least 2,500 years old. I gather that you did do a lot of inspection of various philosophies before settling on Catholicism, didn't you? )) Faith healing, for instance, has been around for a long, long time. I have no doubt that Scientology may have tapped onto a new technique for using it -- if you say so, I have no reason to disbelieve your word. (( But it's way way beyond any faith healing ever practiced before, including that of J.C. and Gautama; faith healing doesn't seem to fit, as a title)) But I do so want you to realize the whole picture, to see the scope of faith healing in all its branches. (( I'll be glad to consider whatever you want me to. Apparently, you have some books to show me? )) Another thing I would like would be for you to realize that any cult which omits God cannot really be a "religion" in the sense that there is no possibility for worship inherent in it. (( Well, I'm pretty certain that there is a Supreme Being, Gem; it's that I don't see yet any reason to worship it. You probably have some ideas on this matter to communicate to me, no? )) The best it can hope for is an improved technique of self-improvement -- a desirable end in itself, to be sure, but hardly deserving of the word, "religion". (( But our immediate goal is the preventing of WW III -- and survival of self is only one eighth of life, there being other things such as family, children, groups, mankind, other life forms aesthetic considerations -- if a man does not have these, and cannot work for their survival, he is cramped. Thus, we are working counter to the "Look out for Number One" philosophy currently making the rounds. And it seems to me that the Christian Church's mission is to impress upon everyone that he must do good to save his soul... a form of self improvement. )) However, that is neither here nor there. The real point of my letter is to express my pleasure that you have come back into contact with the rest of us non-Scientologists. FAPAns and are able to express your thoughts intelligibly again.

Sincerely,

G M Carr

(( It took way too long for my writing style to clarify, didn't it --thank you and others for being so patient with a worse-than-fumbling writer. Don't, please, be completely infuriated by my letter --I don't mean you to. But to refer to the \* above, I have used a similar tactic to try to wean you from the RC hospice. It proves again that two crusaders shouldn't try to convert each other. But, there must be some other game we can play together; let's find it.

P.S. In case you wonder why I express concern over you, Bob Pavlat teased me in a letter of having "adopted" FAPA in a Grandmotherly sort of way. (( But Grandma -- what big TEETH you have!! )) I do regard FAPA with a certain feeling of kinship, and the various members seem to have a place in my affectionate regard like the affection between boisterous and often-bickering siblings. In other words, if I didn't like you, I wouldn't care what happened to you. (( I know you mentioned DNQ, Gem, but I thought this letter would mitigate some of FAPA's hard-feelings, perhaps even reduce a fire-breathing dragon to the Wicked Wolf. I think you'll agree.))

E R M Z I N E      You present four main ~~charges/against~~ comments on TYKE. Replies herewith: (1) lacking mailing bundles here (I keep all that crap at home in Pittsburgh), I will take your word that I misattributed to you an opinion you quoted for comment. I could have done this. Sorry. (2) I asked about the Irish Catholic belief, reported by a travelling friend of mine, to miss even one Mass means the offender will be immediately consumed in hellfire. You referred to Catholic dogma (relevant, yes, but ) and sidestepped the direct question I asked. If the RC Church has installed this terrorism device in Ireland, then it should be noted. It might add some momentum to the Maelstrom that is FAPA.... (3) Scientology finds that a spirit ( or person, however you wish to call it ) is not predestined, and that he picks up bodies under his own power of decision. If he makes a happy or unhappy choice, that is his affair, and what he considers he can get, or is worth. (4) To repeat, a considerable amount of a person's attention is so terribly fixated on the past that he is relatively unable to evaluate the present time on its own terms, but reacts, very mechanically to what has worked in the past. It can lead to serious blunders that he doesn't even spot. People become bored with repeating an action, day in, day out, and can't confront their jobs because they are too monotonous for the people to find out which particular activity they are doing at any particular time. If his actions go on automatic, he is, so to speak, partially autohypnotized. If he gains the ability to be aware of each repeated action as he is doing it, he remains completely awake. A person can drift out of "present time," and so be stuck in the past, "living in the past." I trust this will clarify the point you so blatantly misunderstood. (5) (I've replied to an extra, minor item) Gritty Carr -- shame!!! Shame on you!!! After all the sermonizing I've done against hypnosis, you say the precesses I use are hypnotic? Ugn-uh... No wonder FAPA has troubles with (grr! snarf!) you. The idea is to get the person to assume enough control over his affairs that it would be difficult for an accomplished hypnotist to get at him; to make him more awake, not less; to put him in control of his thinking -- not for the practitioner, "for his own good" to subtly control his life. And I've mentioned that 22% of the people (statistic courtesy of Princeton, I believe) get well from what ails them, regardless of whether they get a slap on the back, an aspirin tablet in water, vitamins, operations, Voo-doo, or headshrinking. 22% of us recover, have the ability to recover (and if you'll check, you'll find Freud claimed he helped about 20%) Scientology gets over 90% -- so, since I've stated it before, you have a nerve to ask me to



look around. Especially since you didn't answer my question as to why the RC priests weren't in the spiritual healing racket. Who's kidding whom? The Christian Church happened to be founded upon a combination of preaching (of Buddhist philosophy) and healing, and we find the Church abandoning it for lo, these fifteen centuries-- why? Isn't the hierarchy interested in helping people? And since you're so fond of head shrinkery (a teaching quite contrary to old time faculty pshcyhology, founded by Aquinas -- that's a fact; look it up, sometime -- imagine their chagrin when it is shown in court that shock therapies don't even get 2% cure.) It's nasty of me to keep bringing these facts before your eyes, especially since I can do so in a manner at least half as insulting as Richard Eney, and begin to approach the competence of yourself, Gem. Incidentally-- I still think it degenerate of you to accuse other FAPs of insanity. It cheapens your own standpoint, since it disgusts others, as witness Lee Hoffman, considerably. ## Mr. Carr wasted a page for naught, since I can't imagine FAPs benefitting from his tales of herring-do. ## Incidentally, if no one in FAPA likes what you do say, or the way you say it, aren't you wasting a lot of effort for naught? If FAPA consists of people too stupid or crazy to listen, why write for it? I rather suspect you've bitten off too much to digest, and are making motions with the mouth to forstall the inevitable discovery (such as, that GMC can do no wrong.) It's okay to be a despot in FAPA, gem, if you're an enlightened despot. If I can drop stream-of-punning-consciousness writing, you could let go of a little self-rightousness. So do so.

Norman Gee proves once again that he's not half bad, with readable mimeography. ## Danner: sorry, but it is possible for a person to create energy. I've seen it demonstrated too often not to believe in it, and will have no trouble understanding your opinion until you, too have had a chance to see it demonstrated. Okay? ## Sam Moskowitz contributes a useful postmailing; it's nice to see FAPA receive the results of work such as this. Projects are a peculiarly Fapish thing. ## Lee Shaw gets the gold-filled HERO medal, for her anti-labor songs inclusion. They are a masterful presentation of the other side of the matter of labor/management, & much appreciated by the non-fans I showed them to. ## I really liked, appreciated, and admired the Pseudomailing. ## Some misc. zines, noted. Now to chew into that ludicrous blubber of Dikini, Target FAPA. ## Eney, you are highly skilled at argument, but I don't argue as much as you, so it must be my own fault I fall for some of your tricks. Even so -- who would have guessed that a FAPA comment-debate would turn into a trial by Terror, complete with tape recordings produced as evidence? Gawd!!! And you accuse me of a certain wildness!!! Be it noted, however, that even a tape recording, even with the he saids and he shrieked, gnashing his teeth, dubbed in by a person as obviously partisan as you, can still come out faked, and biased beyond becrogglements. So to set the record (I mean, tape) straight -- if the chart (the 50¢ one) was only legallength to you, then you must indeed have selective blindness -- Not to mention, reducing glasses. Tell me -- did you plant that, knowingly, to discredit the opposition, or just make use of your

observational ineptitude in hopes I wouldn't spot it? You've done some weird things for the sake of remaining One Up At All Times -- but this is the limit! ## And in your, oh-so-impartial report on nicotinic acid, which I claimed as a vitamin, it would have been a bit wise to state that it is one, wouldn't it? I mean, 'nicotinic acid just happens, by some laughable chance, to be also known as niacin, one of the B vitamins. "Psychic exlax," indeed. True, it does a lot to help a body combat radiation effects ( X-rays, sun burn, fallout, and other atomic radiation effects ) and has helped against a few things like antibiotic overdosage, but the same results can be gotten other ways. The only virtue in niacin is that it is cheap and convenient. ## You have yet to learn, Duck (that typo will just have to be left there; deadline, you know) that you cannot give an exact rendering of another person's viewpoint by a series of slurs and insults. Give it in his own terms, as exactly given, then examine it however you wish. Otherwise, the person you relate it to has no slightest chance to understand the system, due to your vilification and distortion. This should be elementary -- but you don't know the rudiments, just rudeness. ## To be exact, the APA Questionnaire can tell one a lot about a person, but isn't a test of sanity/insanity per se. ## Well, sure sanity and insanity can be rated in terms of how much constructive and destructive action a person produces. It isn't obvious to you? Look: a psycho (according to one highly agreed-upon definition) is dangerous, either to others or self. A sane person produces sane ideas--good times, constructive influences, and the like. An insane person is a producer of insane ideas, or ideas so fixated that application amounts to insanity. To a sane person, 1 and 1 is 2, and he finds arithmetic useful in many ways. To a neurotic, 1 and 1 is 2, and he doesn't like it. To a psychotic, 1 and 1 is 3. You get the idea, perhaps. Several scales of judging apply: how long does it take a person to answer a direct question? How well does he regulate his affairs? Can he consider new ideas impartially? To what extent is his attention free to examine present situations vs. how much is he quite fixed on past ideas and decisions? Hitler's main trouble was that he was psycho. Brilliant, yes -- but mad. It is no great effort to examine his works and ideas and see that they're destructive, non-survival. Gandhi was highly pro-survival, by contrast--he commanded Britain's decisions through force of character and example; as well as much of India. So stop evading the entire issue through resource to unsemantic tomfoolery. My standards are not divinely revealed; anyone who looks will see similar standards. ## As to your argument that by two sides stockpiling atomic weapons that cannot be used without racial suicide or debacle, I wish to call two facts to your attention. First, the race drains economies of both sides horribly, and American taxes exceed the 25%-of income which my college Economics texts said was the upper limit... Secondly, Russia is not currently ruled by sane people or for sane purposes. The entire picture is one of succumb. Had the US prevented her from gobbling up and inflowing from new territories, it wouldn't take long for Mother Russia to collapse. Russia's economy is that bad. Eisenhower has tried several means to wreck America's economy. With our politics and Russia's, how can you think otherwise than that one **false step** and its curtains? Hmmm? Hmmm?

qwertyuiopress

((Apologies for the first page, and any other goofs in mimeoing; 'tis the first time I've used the Buick-green QWERTYUIOPress in months. (Normally I use the Gestetner now...))